

Attorney Docket No. 095309.56847US  
Marked Up Substitute Specification

Method and device apparatus for detecting  
an object in a motor vehicle environment

BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

**[0001]** This application claims the priority of German patent document 103 12 611.2, filed March 21, 2003 (PCT International Application No. PCT/EP2004/000844, filed January 30, 2004), the disclosure of which is expressly incorporated by reference herein.

**[0002]** The invention relates to a method and a ~~device~~ apparatus for detecting an object in a detection field such as a motor vehicle environment, ~~by using a detection means~~ scanning the ~~environment~~ detection field at predetermined angular increments.

**[0003]** German patent document DE 101 16 277 A1 has disclosed discloses a device for detecting objects during ~~driven~~ operation of a motor vehicle, using a scanning detection means~~[[,]]~~ (in particular, a laser, ~~objects~~). Objects moving in relation to the vehicle ~~[[being]]~~ are classified as regards ~~object~~ their size, degree of reflection, speed and acceleration. ~~Using a subcombination of these evaluation variables, an assigning identification of the~~ The object is carried out~~[[,]]~~ (for example as a passenger vehicle, an HGV, a motorcycle, a bicycle or a pedestrian) using a subcombination of these evaluation variables.

[0004] ~~In addition,~~ German patent document DE 195 03 960 A1 also describes an object detection device for vehicles having a laser for ~~the purpose of~~ emitting light and a ~~light-receiving device for the purpose of~~ receiving the light reflected by an object (laser scanner). The pulsed laser scans an environment using a predetermined number of increments, ~~(for example using a number of 100), and~~ increments, the distance and ~~[[the]]~~ speed of the object ~~[[being]]~~ are determined in computing devices.

[0005] An obstacle identification device identifies the detected object on the basis of a distribution pattern of the light intensity received.

[0006] ~~The applicant considers a disadvantageous factor in~~ One disadvantage of the known radar devices ~~to be the fact is~~ is that the resolution of the laser scanners used is in many applications insufficient in the operating mode to be able to ~~reliably~~ determine reliably the extent of an object to be detected.

[0007] One object of the present ~~[[The]]~~ invention is ~~now based on the object of~~ specifying to provide an improved method and apparatus for detecting an object in a motor vehicle environment, using a detection ~~means scanning to scan~~ the environment at predetermined angular increments. ~~In addition, a device is specified for carrying out the method.~~

[0008] ~~The first mentioned object is achieved by a method for detecting an object in a motor vehicle environment having the features of patent claim 1.~~ According This and other objects and advantages are achieved by the method

and apparatus according to the invention, when sensing in which a reflection signal of an object is sensed at an angle angles  $\phi_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,N$ ). According to the invention, the angular increments are refined in the angular range between ~~[[the]]~~ adjacent angles  $\phi_{i-1}$  and  $\phi_{i+1}$ , as a function of the signal propagation times  $t_{i-1}$ ,  $t_i$  and  $t_{i+1}$  of the reflection signals sensed at the angles  $\phi_{i-1}$ ,  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_{i+1}$ . In order to detect the object in a motor vehicle environment, a detection ~~[[means]]~~ unit is used which scans the environment at predetermined angular increments  $\phi_{i+1}-\phi_i$ . ~~[[With]]~~ For many assisting and safety functions in the vehicle, ~~it is impossible to dispense with~~ knowledge of the precise dimensions of the objects located in the environment is indispensable. The method according to the invention ensures ~~[[very]]~~ highly accurate determination of the dimensions of an object, (for example of a road user such as a vehicle), ~~as a result of which so that it is possible~~, for example, ~~it is possible for it to be reliably assigned~~ assign it reliably to classes such as a pedestrian, ~~two-wheeler~~, bicycle, passenger car and HGV. Each of these classes is characterized by a specific acceleration behavior and movement pattern in the road traffic, so that a ~~[[. A]]~~ targeted and safe response to a current traffic situation is ~~[[made]]~~ possible ~~by the method~~.

**[0009]** In one ~~refinement~~ embodiment of the invention, ~~at least one angle  $\phi_z$  ( $z=1,2,\dots,N$ ) additionally to be sensed is introduced in the angular range between the angles  $\phi_{i-1}$  and  $\phi_i$  or  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_{i+1}$  if the absolute propagation time difference between the signal propagation times  $t_i$  and  $t_{i-1}$  or  $t_i$  and  $t_{i+1}$  (corresponding to sensing angles  $\phi_{i-1}$ ,  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_{i+1}$  of the reflection signals) exceeds a predetermined threshold value, at least one additional angle  $\phi_z$  ( $z=1,2,\dots,N$ ) is~~

sensed in the angular range between the angles  $\phi_{i-1}$  and  $\phi_i$  or  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_{i+1}$ . The predetermined threshold value for the absolute propagation time difference, it should be noted, is selected such that distinctive object features (for example lamps or a radiator grille in a vehicle) lead to measurable propagation time differences between adjacent reflection signals which lie below the predetermined threshold value for the absolute propagation time difference. Absolute propagation time differences between the signal propagation times  $t_i$  and  $t_{i-1}$  or  $t_i$  and  $t_{i+1}$  of adjacent reflection signals which exceed [[above]] the predetermined threshold value, on the other hand, are a clear indication of obvious geometrical changes which can be associated, in particular, with object boundaries (for example the front, right-hand corner of the vehicle). The introduction of [[an]] the additional angle  $\phi_z$  ~~additionally~~ to be sensed in the angular range between the angles  $\phi_{i-1}$  and  $\phi_i$  or  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_{i+1}$  makes it possible for object boundaries to be determined substantially more accurately. The method, namely the introduction of further angles  $\phi_{iz}$  additionally to be sensed, is continued until reliable detection of the size and classification of the object is ensured.

**[0010]** It is advantageous if the scanning takes place substantially horizontally, vertically and/or at a predetermined angle of inclination. With scanning which is carried out vertically or at a predetermined angle of inclination, the presence and the position of a curb can be detected. This prevents the vehicle from driving onto the curb or ensures that it does so in a manner which is not damaging to the tires. The position and alignment of the

curb can also be used for the selection of a desired vehicle position in a parking space. In addition, the knowledge of the position of a curb can be used ~~for finding~~ to find vacant parking spaces which are not provided or delimited by two vehicles but lie in front of, behind or next to a single vehicle and are delimited on the other side by a curb.

**[0011]** ~~The second mentioned object is achieved by~~ Another feature of the invention provides a device for detecting an object in a motor vehicle environment ~~having the features of patent claim 9.~~ According to the invention, the device can be used to set individually the angles  $\phi_i$  to be scanned, so that can ~~be set individually using the device. As a result,~~ a cost-effective sensor system is provided for ~~the purpose of~~ detecting an object in a motor vehicle environment using one or a very limited number of measuring beams. The ,which system is compact and can be positioned in many locations in the vehicle owing to its low installation depth.

**[0012]** Other objects, advantages and novel features of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description of the invention when considered in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

**[0013]** ~~The invention will be explained in more detail with reference to an exemplary embodiment in the single figure~~ of the drawing is ,the figure showing

a schematic ~~illustration of a~~ plan view of a section of an object in a motor vehicle environment.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

**[0014]** An object 1 illustrated in section in the figure is located in the environment of a motor vehicle ~~which is (not illustrated in any more detail),~~ and has detection means which scan ~~scanning~~ the environment at predetermined angular increments ~~for the purpose of detecting to detect~~ the object 1. The number of angular increments depends on the required resolution accuracy. The object 1 has a corner 4 and a bulge 5 in a surface profile 2. If the object 1 is a motor vehicle, the corner 4 could be, for example, a front, lateral boundary and the bulge 5 may be a headlamp. The object 1 may be a moving road user or road traffic devices having a fixed position. Moving road users are, for example, pedestrians, ~~two-wheelers~~ bicycles, passenger cars and HGVs. Devices having a fixed position are, in particular, street signs and road markings, for example curbs.

**[0015]** The scanning detection means comprises a sensor which indicates ~~indicating~~ the distance, and it ~~[[being]]~~ is possible to set individually ~~[[for]]~~ the angles  $\phi_i$  ( $i=1,2,3...N$ ) that are to be scanned. ~~The to be set individually, and the~~ spatially delimited measuring direction of the sensor ~~[[being]]~~ is indicated by an arrow 3. ~~The scanning~~ Scanning takes place substantially horizontally in this application~~[[,]]~~ (*i.e.*, parallel to a road surface). ~~In order to improve~~ To facilitate an understanding of the exemplary embodiment, the emitted beam from the

sensor associated with the reflection signal 6 to 13 is illustrated in the figure, and represents also ~~[[as]]~~ the reflection signal 6 to 13. For further simplification purposes, the reflection signals 6 to 13 which are detected at the angles  $\phi_6$ ,  $\phi_7$  to  $\phi_{13}$  by scanning detection means are illustrated as parallel beams.

**[0016]** The reflection signals 7, 8, 9, 11 are reflected by a planar face 14, which faces the vehicle, of the surface profile 2 of the object 1. The planar face 14 of the object 1 takes up the majority of the view of the object 1 which faces the motor vehicle and can be detected by the laser of the motor vehicle.

**[0017]** In a method for detecting the object 1 in the motor vehicle environment, when sensing reflection signals 6 to 11 at the respective angles  $\phi_6$  to  $\phi_{11}$ , the angular increments are refined in the angular range between adjacent angles  $\phi_6$  to  $\phi_{11}$  as a function of the signal propagation times  $t_6$  to  $t_{11}$  of the sensed reflection signals 6 to 11. If the absolute propagation time difference between the signal propagation times  $t_6$  to  $t_{11}$  of two ~~respectively~~ adjacent reflection signals 6 to 11 exceeds a predetermined threshold value, at least one angle  $\phi_{12}$ , which is also ~~additionally~~ to be sensed, is introduced in the angular range between these respectively adjacent reflection signals 6 to 11.

**[0018]** ~~[[A]] The predetermined threshold value for the absolute propagation time difference corresponds to a threshold value of the path difference for the reflection signals 6 to 13, corresponds to the predetermined threshold value for the absolute propagation time difference since because the reflection signals 6 to 13 in each case all propagate at the speed of light. The path difference is shown~~

in the figure as the path difference window 15 in relation to the reflection signals 7, 8, 9 and 11. For a simplified illustration, the path difference window 15, which is of equal size for all of the reflection signals 6 to 13, has not been shown in the figure for the reflection signals 6, 10, 12 and 13. The selected threshold value of the absolute propagation time difference and, correspondingly, the path difference window 15 is ~~selected to be so~~ sufficiently large that, in the event of a deviation in the path difference between two adjacent reflection signals 6 to 13 which is greater than the path difference window 15, it can be assumed that the two reflection signals do not belong to the object 1.

**[0019]** The method will be described in detail below. In a first scanning run of the object 1 with the reflection signals 6 to 11, for example with scanning at constant angular increments, the object 1 is detected with the reflection signals 7 to 11. That is, the ~~[[The]]~~ reflection signals 7 to 11 are reflected by the object 1 and detected by the scanning detection means of the motor vehicle, while the reflection signal 6 is not incident on the object 1 and passes to the side of it. With the first scanning run, the dimensions, in the case of horizontal scanning the width, of the object 1 are generally not detected accurately enough in order to be able to uniquely classify the object 1. A specific driving behavior of the motor vehicle as a response to the presence of the object 1 ~~[[can]]~~ generally ~~[[not]]~~ cannot be estimated or derived from the results of the first ~~scanning~~ scan.

**[0020]** In order to detect the width of the object 1 more accurately, the signal propagation times  $t_6$  to  $t_{11}$  of the reflection signals 6 to 11 are evaluated for a second scanning run of the object 1. For each pair of directly adjacent reflection



signals 6 to 11, the absolute propagation time difference of their signal propagation times  $t_6$  to  $t_{11}$  is calculated and compared with the predetermined threshold value for the absolute propagation time difference. The absolute propagation time difference of directly adjacent reflection signals 6 to 11 may be greater or less than the predetermined threshold value for the absolute propagation time difference. Correspondingly, it is true ~~[[for]]~~ that the path difference of two directly adjacent reflection signals 6 to 11 ~~that this path difference~~ lies within the corresponding path difference window 15 for an absolute propagation time difference less than the predetermined threshold value.

**[0021]** The adjacent reflection signals 6 and 7, however, have an absolute propagation time difference which is greater than the predetermined threshold value for the absolute propagation time difference. All other reflection signals 8 to 11 have an absolute propagation time difference (in relation to their respectively adjacent reflection signals 7 to 11) which is less than the prescribed threshold value for the absolute propagation time difference. ~~Owing to the~~ By a suitable selection of the threshold value for the absolute propagation time difference, the bulge 5 is also recognized as being associated with the object 1.

**[0022]** For accurate determination of the lateral boundary of the object 1 in the region of the corner 4 during the second scanning run, at least one further reflection signal 12 (illustrated for distinguishing purposes as a dashed arrow) is generated at an angle  $\phi_{12}$  in the angular range between the angles  $\phi_6$  and  $\phi_7$ , at which the reflection signals 6 and 7 are received. The latter angular range

~~between the angles  $\phi_6$  and  $\phi_7$  is as a result therefore~~ scanned during the second scanning run with a higher resolution during the second scanning run than during the first scanning run, in order to determine more accurately the boundary of the object 1. However, it is also possible for two or more such additional angles to be introduced and sensed ~~can additionally be introduced into~~ in the angular range for the second scanning run.

**[0023]** The additional angle  $\phi_{12}$  ~~additionally~~ that is to be sensed can be determined in an interval nesting method, for example by halving the angular range between the angles  $\phi_6$  and  $\phi_7$ , or in an iteration method with a suitable weighting. The reflection signal 12 is likewise reflected by the object 1 and defines the boundary of the object 1 ~~markedly~~ much better than the reflection signal 7.

**[0024]** If the desired resolution for the width of the object 1 is still insufficient after the second scanning run, the method is continued. For each pair of directly adjacent reflection signals 6 to 12, in turn the absolute propagation time difference of their signal propagation times  $t_6$  to  $t_{12}$  is calculated and compared with the predetermined threshold value for the absolute propagation time difference. The reflection signals 6 and 12 have an absolute propagation time difference which is greater than the predetermined threshold value for the absolute propagation time difference. In a further scanning run, a reflection signal 13 (illustrated for distinguishing purposes as a dotted arrow) is therefore generated at an angle  $\phi_{13}$  in the angular range between the reflection signals 6

and 12. The reflection signal 13 is not reflected by the object 1. The method for detecting the object 1 in the motor vehicle environment can be continued until reliable detection of the object 1 is ensured by sufficiently accurate determination of the dimensions.

**[0025]** ~~[[The]]~~ While scanning is carried out horizontally in this exemplary embodiment.~~—However,~~ it may also be carried out vertically or at a predetermined angle of inclination. With vertical scanning, in addition to the height of the object 1, the presence and the height of curbs as the road boundary can also be detected. Curbs have two sharp edges (in each case one edge at the level of the road and at the level of the sidewalk) and a curb wall perpendicular to the road surface. As a result, curbs can be detected very effectively using the method according to the invention both in terms of their position and in terms of their height.

**[0026]** The foregoing disclosure has been set forth merely to illustrate the invention and is not intended to be limiting. Since modifications of the disclosed embodiments incorporating the spirit and substance of the invention may occur to persons skilled in the art, the invention should be construed to include everything within the scope of the appended claims and equivalents thereof.